

General

Guideline Title

Shoulder conditions diagnosis and treatment guideline.

Bibliographic Source(s)

Washington State Department of Labor and Industries. Shoulder conditions diagnosis and treatment guideline. Olympia (WA): Washington State Department of Labor and Industries; 2013. 28 p. [72 references]

Guideline Status

This is the current release of the guideline.

Recommendations

Major Recommendations

Criteria for Shoulder Surgery

A request may be appropriate for	If the patient has	AND the diagnosis is	AND this has been done (if recommended)		
Surgical Procedure	Diagnosis	Subjective	Objective	Imaging	Non-operative Care
Rotator cuff tear repair Note: The use of allografts and xenografts in rotator cuff tear repair is not covered. Note: Distal clavicle resection as a routine part of acute rotator cuff tear repair is not covered.	Acute full- thickness rotator cuff tear	Report of an acute traumatic injury within 3 months of seeking care AND Shoulder pain: With movement and/or at night	Patient will usually have weakness with one or more of the following: • Forward elevation • Internal/external rotation • Abduction testing	Conventional x-rays, AP, and true lateral or axillary view AND MRI, ultrasound, or x-ray arthrogram reveals a full thickness rotator cuff tear Routine use of contrast imaging is not	May be offered but not required

A request may be	If the patient has	AND the diagnosis is	supported by these clinical	findings:ted	AND this has
Ronam retur fear repair	Partial thickness rotator cuff tear	Pain with active arc	Weak or painful abduction	Conventional x-rays, AP, and true lateral	been done (if recommended)
			AND Tenderness over rotator cuff AND Positive impingement sign	or axillary view AND MRI, ultrasound, or x-ray arthrogram shows a partial thickness rotator cuff tear	for at least 6 weeks, then: If tear is >50% of the tendon thickness, may consider surgery;
				Routine use of contrast imaging is not indicated	If <50% thickness, do 6 more weeks conservative care
Rotator cuff tear repair Note: The use of allografts and xenografts in rotator cuff tear repair is not covered.	Chronic or degenerative full- thickness rotator cuff tear	Gradual onset of shoulder pain without a traumatic event OR Minor trauma; night pain	Patient will usually have weakness with one or more of the following: • Forward elevation • Internal/external rotation • Abduction testing	Conventional x-rays, AP, and true lateral or axillary view AND MRI, ultrasound, or x-ray arthrogram reveals a full thickness rotator cuff tear Routine use of contrast imaging is not	Conservative care*, for at least 6 weeks If no improvement after 6 weeks, and tear is repairable, surgery may be considered
Rotator cuff tear repair after previous rotator cuff surgery 1. One revision surgery may be considered Revision surgery is not covered in the presence of a massive rotator cuff tear, as defined by one or more of the following:	Recurring full thickness tear	New traumatic injury with good function prior to injury	Patient may have weakness with forward elevation, internal/external rotation, and/or abduction testing	indicated Conventional x-rays, AP, and true lateral or axillary view AND MRI, ultrasound, or x-ray arthrogram reveals a full thickness rotator cuff tear Routine use of contrast imaging is not indicated	Conservative care*, for at least 6 weeks If no improvement after 6 weeks, and tear is repairable, surgery may be considered
 a. >3 cm of retraction b. Severe rotator cuff muscle atrophy c. Severe fatty 	Note: Smoking/nic	otine use is a strong rela	tive contraindication for rota		

A request may be appropriate for	Recurring full		Patient may have	Conventional x-rays,	been done (if
2. 2nd and	thickness tear	2. No new	weakness with forward	AP, and true lateral	recommended)
subsequent	unckness tear	injury, but	elevation,	or axillary view	1evision.craca)
revisions		gradual onset	internal/external	of axillary view	Conservative
Revision surgery is		of pain with		ANID	
not covered in the		good function	rotation, and/or	AND	care* for 6
presence of a		for over a	abduction testing	MRI, ultrasound, or	weeks is
massive rotator cuff		year after		x-ray arthrogram	required; if no
tear, as defined by		previous		reveals a full thickness	improvement,
one or more of the		_		rotator cuff tear	surgery may
		surgery			be considered
following:		2nd revision		Routine use of	
a. >3cm of		will only be		contrast imaging is not	
retraction		considered		indicated	
b. Severe		when patient			
		has returned			
rotator cuff		to work or			
muscle		has clinically			
atrophy		meaningful			
c. Severe fatty		improvement			
infiltration		in function, on			
		validated			
		instrument,			
		after the most			
		recent surgery			
Partial claviculectomy	Arthritis of AC	Dain at A.C. in inte	Tenderness over the	MRI (radiologist	Conservative
(includes Mumford		Pain at AC joint; aggravation of pain		interpretation) reveals:	care* for at
	joint	with shoulder motion	AC joint	interpretation) reveals.	least 6 weeks
procedure)		with shoulder motion	ANID	Moderate to	
NI 4 1 1 1 4			AND	severe	(if done in
Not authorized as a part			Documented pain relief	degenerative	isolation)
of acute rotator cuff repair			with an anesthetic	joint disease of	·
NI / N C 1			injection	AC joint, or	Surgery is not
Note: Mumford				Distal clavicle	indicated
procedure done alone				edema, or	before 6
must meet all these				Osteolysis of	weeks
criteria.				distal clavicle	
Mumford as an add-on to				distarciavicie	
any other shoulder					
surgery must also meet all				OR	
diagnostic criteria				Bone scan is positive	
preoperatively.				•	
Intraoperative				OR	
visualization of AC joint,				Radiologist's	
in the absence of				interpretation of x-ray	
radiographic findings, is				reveals moderate to	
not a sufficient finding to				severe AC joint	
authorize the				arthritis	
claviculectomy.					
Isolated subacromial	Subacromial	Generalized	Pain with active	MRI reveals evidence	12 weeks of
decompression with or	impingement	shoulder pain	elevation	of	conservative
without acromioplasty	syndrome	Paris Paris		tendinopathy/tendinitis	care*
	Sjimione			torkini putity, withintis	5410
				OR	AND
					AINII

A request may be appropriate for	If the patient has	AND the diagnosis is	supported by these clinical f	indings.	AND this has injection on with been done if local anesthetic recommended)
					documented pain relief
Debridement of calcific tendinitis	Calcific tendinitis	Generalized shoulder pain	Pain with active elevation	Conventional x-rays show calcium deposit in the rotator cuff	12 weeks of conservative care*
Open treatment of acute acromioclavicular dislocation	Shoulder AC joint separation	Pain with marked functional difficulty	Marked deformity	Conventional x-rays show type III or greater separation	Conservative care* only for types I and II
Note: Surgery for acute types I and II AC joint dislocations is not covered.					Conservative care for 3 months for type III separations, with the exception of early surgery being considered for heavy or overhead laborers Immediate
					Immediate surgical intervention for types IV–VI
Repair, debridement, or biceps tenodesis for labral lesion, including SLAP tears	Labral tears without instability (including SLAP tears)	Traumatic event reported or an occupation with significant overhead activity AND Pain worse with motion and active elevation	Pain reproduced with labral loading tests (e.g., O'Brien's test)	MRI shows labral tear	At least 6 weeks of conservative care*
Capsulorrhaphy (Bankart procedure)	Glenohumeral instability	History of a dislocation that inhibit activities of daily living	Positive apprehension/relocation test	AND MRI demonstrates one of the following: a. Bankart/labral lesion b. Hill Sachs lesion c. Capsular tear	If only one dislocation has occurred, recommend 1–2 weeks of immobilization then PT for 6–8 weeks. If a positive apprehension is present at 6 weeks, surgery

A request may be appropriate for	If the patient has	AND the diagnosis is	supported by these clinical f	indings:	AND this h considered recommend Two or mo
					dislocations 3 months m proceed to surgery without conservativ care
					Early surge may be considered patients wit large bone defects, or patients und 35 years of
Tenodesis or tenotomy of long head of biceps	Partial biceps tear, biceps instability from the biceps groove, proximal biceps enlargement that inhibits gliding in the biceps groove, complete tear of the proximal biceps tendon	Anterior shoulder pain, weakness and deformity	Tenderness over the biceps groove, pain in the anterior shoulder during resisted supination of the forearm Partial thickness tears do not have the classical appearance of ruptured muscle	MRI required if procedure performed in isolation. If biceps tendon pathology identified and addressed during separate procedure the code may be added retroactively	Surgery alm never considered full thicknes ruptures
Total/hemi shoulder arthroplasty	Severe proximal humerus fracture with: post traumatic arthritis, post traumatic avascular necrosis OR Comminuted fractures of proximal humerus	Pain with ROM, history of work related fracture	Pain/crepitance with ROM, decreased ROM	Conventional x-rays show moderate to severe glenohumeral arthritis OR Avascular necrosis OR Comminuted fractures of proximal humerus	Conservatir care* may offered but required
Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty	Rotator cuff arthropathy OR Severe proximal humerus	Pain, weakness AND History of work related rotator cuff tear	Inability to elevate arm, pain with ROM	Conventional x-rays show moderate to severe glenohumeral arthritis and a high riding humeral head	Conservati care* may offered but required

A request may be	fractures If the patient has	AND the diagnosis is supported by these clinical findings:			AND this has
Authoritation for an est he sia/arthroscopic	Idiopathic adhesive	Pain, loss of motion	Loss of passive motion	Conventional x-rays do not show bone	been dened if responded)
capsular release	capsulitis, postoperative adhesive capsulitis			pathology that can explain the loss of motion	care*
Diagnostic arthroscopy	Arthroscopy for diagnostic purposes	Diagnostic arthroscopy	y is not covered.		

^{*}Conservative care should include at least active assisted range of motion and home-based exercises.

Abbreviations: AC, acromioclavicular; AP, anteroposterior; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PT, physical therapy; ROM, range of motion; SLAP, superior labral tear from anterior to posterior

Clinical Algorithm(s)

None provided

Scope

Disease/Condition(s)

Acute and chronic work-related shoulder dysfunctions

Guideline Category

Diagnosis

Evaluation

Management

Rehabilitation

Treatment

Clinical Specialty

Anesthesiology

Emergency Medicine

Family Practice

Geriatrics

Internal Medicine

Nursing

Orthopedic Surgery

Sports Medicine
Surgery
Intended Users

Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

Advanced Practice Nurses

Chiropractors

Health Care Providers

Health Plans

Managed Care Organizations

Nurses

Physical Therapists

Physician Assistants

Utilization Management

Guideline Objective(s)

- To provide a tool for utilization review staff to appropriately authorize shoulder surgery for injured workers, and to guide health care
 providers in the appropriate and allowable treatment for shoulder injuries for injured workers covered by the Washington State workers'
 compensation system
- To serve as an educational resource for health care providers who treat injured workers in the Washington workers' compensation system
 under Title 51 Revised Code of Washington (RCW) and as review criteria for the department's utilization review team to help ensure
 treatment of shoulder injuries is of the highest quality
- To provide standards that ensure a uniformly high quality of care for injured workers in Washington State

Target Population

Injured workers with acute and chronic shoulder dysfunctions

Interventions and Practices Considered

Diagnosis/Evaluation

- 1. History and clinical exam, including tests such as labral loading test and apprehension/relocation test
- 2. Diagnostic imaging

Treatment/Management/Rehabilitation

- 1. Conservative treatment
 - Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) medications and acetaminophen
 - Brief rest and immobilization (less than 4 days)
 - Unloaded movement and manual interventions
 - Therapeutic exercise and mobilization
 - Strengthening exercise
 - Corticosteroid injections
 - Ergonomic interventions

2. Surgical treatment

- Rotator cuff repair
- Revision rotator cuff repair
- Partial claviculectomy (includes Mumford procedure)
- Isolated subacromial decompression with or without acromioplasty
- Debridement of calcific tendinitis
- Open treatment of acute acromioclavicular dislocation
- Repair, debridement, or biceps tenodesis for labral lesion, including superior labral tear from anterior to posterior (SLAP) tears
- Capsulorrhaphy (Bankart procedure)
- Tenodesis or tenotomy of long head of biceps
- Total/hemi shoulder arthroplasty
- Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty
- Manipulation under anesthesia/arthroscopic capsular release

Note: Diagnostic arthroscopy is not currently accepted as a viable treatment option. The following treatments were considered but are not authorized: allografts or xenografts in rotator cuff tear repair; distal clavicle excision as a routine part of rotator cuff repair.

Major Outcomes Considered

- Functional improvement of shoulder
- Pain relief
- Return to work
- · Recurrent instability rate

Methodology

Methods Used to Collect/Select the Evidence

Searches of Electronic Databases

Description of Methods Used to Collect/Select the Evidence

The bulk of the literature search and review was conducted from November 2012 to March 2013. Additional searches were conducted as requested by the Industrial Insurance Medical Advisory Committee Subcommittee members. Search results were limited to human adults only and English only and in some cases filtered to studies published in the last 10 years.

PubMed was the main database searched for peer-reviewed articles. The following keywords were used in PubMed: *shoulder surgery and workers compensation, rotator cuff tear repair and workers compensation, rotator cuff tear repair, acromioclavicular dislocation treatment, long head of the biceps, tenodesis of biceps, diagnostic arthroscopy, bankart repair, clavicular fractures, recurrent dislocation treatment, subacromial decompression and rotator cuff repair, SLAP tear repair, partial rotator cuff tear repair and treatment, shoulder injury and imaging, rotator cuff tears and imaging, SLAP tears and imaging, shoulder injury and work relatedness, conservative treatment and shoulder injury.*

Additional citation tracking was also performed by department staff for potentially relevant studies not initially retrieved from the electronic database.

Number of Source Documents

319 abstracts were reviewed, 129 full texts reviewed and 71 cited in this guideline.

Methods Used to Assess the Quality and Strength of the Evidence

Expert Consensus (Committee)

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Not Given)

Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence

The quality and strength of the evidence were assessed using the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) clinical guideline process manual rating scheme. Refer to the AAN Clinical Practice Guideline Process Manual, https://www.aan.com/Guidelines/Home/Development

Methods Used to Analyze the Evidence

Systematic Review

Description of the Methods Used to Analyze the Evidence

A systematic review and summary of the relevant peer reviewed medical literature is done and is presented to the subcommittee for their review. Claim and billing data from Labor & Industries may also be reviewed.

Methods Used to Formulate the Recommendations

Expert Consensus

Description of Methods Used to Formulate the Recommendations

The process for guideline development is contained in a separate document, titled Medical Treatment Guidelines in Washington Workers' Compensation, June 2010 (see the "Availability of Companion Documents" field). The process can be summarized as follows:

- A subcommittee of the Industrial Insurance Medical Advisory Committee (IIMAC) was formed with practicing health care providers, including physicians, a physical therapist, and professional utilization review staff. The subcommittee met 5 times between February and October 2013.
- 2. A systematic review and summary of the relevant peer-reviewed medical literature was done and presented to the subcommittee for their review. Claim and billing data from Labor and Industries were also reviewed.
- 3. Drafts of the guideline were formulated and reviewed and modified by the subcommittee members.

Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Recommendations

Not applicable

Cost Analysis

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

Method of Guideline Validation

External Peer Review

Internal Peer Review

Description of Method of Guideline Validation

- After the full advisory committee has given their input and any recommended changes are made, the third draft guideline is posted on the
 web and distributed via a provider listsery for public review and comment.
- Once all public comments are received and reviewed, responses are provided by the subcommittee. Both comments and responses are
 posted on the web.
- The subcommittee may make further revisions to the draft guideline based on public input and any other information they have received. This
 then results in a fourth draft.
- The fourth draft is presented to the full advisory committee in an open public meeting. Oral comments are invited from the public, and the full committee may recommend further changes, potentially creating a fifth and final draft.
- Once the full committee makes the advisory recommendation to adopt the guideline, it becomes final and is again posted on the web and distributed via the provider listserv.
- Labor & Industries (L&I) then posts on the web a Provider Bulletin announcing the new or revised guideline and distributes it via the
 provider listserv.

Evidence Supporting the Recommendations

Type of Evidence Supporting the Recommendations

This guideline was based on the weight of the best available clinical and scientific evidence from a systematic review of the literature and on a consensus of expert opinion.

Benefits/Harms of Implementing the Guideline Recommendations

Potential Benefits

- Appropriate authorization of shoulder surgeries by the utilization review team and claim adjudicators, leading to better outcomes for workers with shoulder injuries
- One anticipated benefit is decreasing surgical procedures that are unnecessary to the health of the worker, such as distal claviculectomies
 routinely done with rotator cuff repairs. The diagnostic criteria will help ensure that surgery is performed when there is clear evidence that it
 will be of benefit.

Potential Harms

Care must be exercised when giving a corticosteroid injection to a partial rotator cuff tear, as this may lead to tear extension. Because corticosteroid use is associated with side effects such as weakening of connective tissue, no more than 3 injections are recommended under one claim for the shoulder, 4 injections per lifetime.

Contraindications

Contraindications

- Smoking/nicotine use is a strong relative contraindication for rotator cuff surgery.
- Revision rotator cuff surgery should not be done if a patient has a massive rotator cuff tear (i.e., tears >3 cm or with severe fatty infiltration).

Qualifying Statements

Qualifying Statements

In order for a shoulder condition to be allowed as an occupational disease, the provider must document that the work exposures created a risk of contracting or worsening the condition relative to the risks in everyday life, on a more-probable-than-not basis.

Implementation of the Guideline

Description of Implementation Strategy

Most guidelines are implemented within the utilization review (UR) program. Labor and Industries (L&I) guidelines have priority over other proprietary guidelines and criteria that may exist. Where L&I guidelines are not available, proprietary ones may be used. Reviewers apply each guideline as a standard for the majority of requests in the Washington workers' compensation program. For the minority of workers who appear to fall outside of the guideline and whose complexity of clinical findings exceeds the specificity of the guideline, further review by a physician is conducted.

When a surgical procedure is requested for a patient who meets the guideline criteria, the reviewer will recommend approval to the claim manager. If the criteria are not met, the request will be referred to a physician consultant who will review the patient's file, offer to discuss the case with the requesting physician, and make a recommendation to the claim manager. The flexibility built into this decision making process is important in two ways. First, it enables the Washington State Industrial Insurance Medical Advisory Committee (IIMAC) to develop surgical indications fairly quickly. Second, it plays a major role in legitimizing the work of the subcommittee in the eyes of practicing physicians in Washington.

Completed guidelines will be communicated to practicing physicians via L&I's website and through its provider listserv (http://www.lni.wa.gov/Main/Listservs/Provider.asp __________). Education and training will be provided to reviewers and staff to ensure their proper application within the UR program. Where possible, continuing medical education (CME) credits may be offered.

Implementation Tools

Chart Documentation/Checklists/Forms

For information about availability, see the Availability of Companion Documents and Patient Resources fields below.

Institute of Medicine (IOM) National Healthcare Quality Report Categories

IOM Care Need

Getting Better

Living with Illness

Staying Healthy

IOM Domain

Effectiveness

Identifying Information and Availability

Bibliographic Source(s)

Washington State Department of Labor and Industries. Shoulder conditions diagnosis and treatment guideline. Olympia (WA): Washington State Department of Labor and Industries; 2013. 28 p. [72 references]

Adaptation

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

Date Released

2013

Guideline Developer(s)

Washington State Department of Labor and Industries - State/Local Government Agency [U.S.]

Source(s) of Funding

Washington State Department of Labor and Industries

Guideline Committee

Labor and Industries' Industrial Insurance Medical Advisory Committee (IIMAC), Subcommittee on Shoulder Conditions

Composition of Group That Authored the Guideline

Industrial Insurance Medical Advisory Committee (IIMAC) Committee Members: Andrew Friedman, MD; Chris Howe, MD (Chair); Gerald Yorioka, MD; Karen Nilson, MD; Kirk Harmon, MD

Subcommittee Clinical Experts: Michael Codsi, MD; Eric Fletcher, PT; Laura Rachel Kaufman, MD

Consultants: Ken O'Bara, MD, Qualis Health; Shari Fowler-Koorn, RN, Qualis Health; Mike Dowling, DC

Department Staff: Gary M. Franklin, MD, MPH, Medical Director; Lee Glass, MD, Associate Medical Director; Hal Stockbridge, MD, MPH, Associate Medical Director; Robert Mootz, DC, Associate Medical Director; Teresa Cooper, MN, MPH, Occupational Nurse Consultant; Bintu Marong, MS, Epidemiologist

Financial Disclosures/Conflicts of Interest

The Washington State Department of Labor and Industries is a public state agency and did not receive any outside funding and has no conflicts of interest to report. Committee members reported no conflicts of interest, and their signed statements are kept on file.

Guideline Status

This is the current release of the guideline.

Guideline Availability

Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the Washington State Department of Labor and Industries Web site

Availability of Companion Documents

The following is available:

 Medical treatment guidelines for Washington Workers' Compensation. Guideline process. Olympia (WA): Washington State Department of Labor and Industries. 2010 Jun. 4 p. Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the Washington State
 Department of Labor and Industries Web site

In addition, a Simple Shoulder Test and Shoulder Pain and Disability Index (SPADI) are provided in the original guideline document

Patient Resources

None available

NGC Status

This NGC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on May 22, 2014. This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on September 18, 2015 following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration advisory on non-aspirin nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs).

Copyright Statement

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is in the public domain. Washington State Department of Labor and Industries (L&I) does not copyright its medical treatment guidelines.

Disclaimer

NGC Disclaimer

The National Guideline Clearinghouseâ, ϕ (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion-criteria.aspx.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.